

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,668	08/27/2001	Jens Petersen	60117.000005	2506
7590 09/07/2004			EXAMINER	
Stanislaus Aksman			AZPURU, CARLOS A	
Hunton & Williams Suite 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1900 K Street, N.W.			1615	
Washington, D	C 20006	DATE MAILED: 09/07/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	
1	
01	
J	

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/938,668	PETERSEN, JENS	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Carlos A. Azpuru	1615	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the set of the mailing days, a reply within the set of extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the analytic reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	event, however, may a reply be timely filed tatutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. I will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. upplication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance excelenced in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte</i> (conditions).	pt for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 1-8,17-34 and 36-44 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-8,27-34 and 40-44 is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17-26 and 36-39 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election	thdrawn from consideration.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or large accepted to the drawing(see accepted accepted to large accepted or large accepted accepted accepted to large accepted or large accepted accepted accepted to large accepted a) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). uired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unashing All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have be 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have be 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be application from the International Bureau (PCT Research) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.	een received. een received in Application No nents have been received in this National Stage ule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the information disclosure statement filed 04/08/2004 and 08/30/2004. An amendment and remarks were filed on 05/28/2004.

The following rejection is cited in view of applicant's amendment to the claims:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 17-26, 36-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. While applicant has statements directed to the use of the instant polyacrylamide endoprosthesis in a treatment of arthritis, there are no method steps presented in that treatment. Further, there is no disclosure as to the specific symptoms of arthritis which are to be treated. The only disclosure of the use of the polyacrylamide gel is at page 7, lines 23-25, which merely states it intended use, and the placement of the endoprosthesis. While it is acknowledged that arthritis

Art Unit: 1615

has well known symptoms which include inflammation, pain, loss of mobility, loss of cartilage etc, the specification fails to reasonably convey that applicants were in possession of any treatment regimen since no data has been provided as to how this treatment should take place. No clinical or in vitro data is present in the file which would support the use of the instant polyacrylamide endoprosthesis in a treatment regimen for arthritis.

Claims 17-26, 36-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, have been described in In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988). Among these factors are: (1) the nature of the invention; (2) the state of the prior art; (3) the relative skill of those in the art; (4) the predictability or unpredictability of the art; (5) the breadth of the claims; (6) the amount of direction or guidance presented; (7) the presence or absence of working examples; and (8) the quantity of experimentation necessary. When the above factors are weighed, it is the examiner's position that one skilled in the art could not practice the invention without undue experimentation.

The factors pertinent to the analysis of enablement in this case are:

- 2) The prior art teaches the use of the instant gel as an endoprosthesis, however there is no teaching that such compositions may be used in the treatment of arthritis.
- 5) The claims broadly set out a "treatment of arthritis" without any guidance as to the specific symptoms addressed by the claimed polyacrylamide.
- 6) The specification provides no guidance as how the treatment is accomplished, other than the placement of the endoprosthesis into the joint.

Art Unit: 1615

While this may address the issue of load capacity, there is no disclosure of how the implant treats any symptom of arthritis.

- 7) No working examples are found which are directed to the treatment of arthritis. The specification lacks any in vivo or in vitro studies to support treatment claims.
- 8) The ordinary practitioner is then left with the task of guessing how the endoprosthesis treats arthritis and its associated symptoms. Tests would have to be run for any of the symptoms cited above.

Articles by Gebauer et al and Jarosova et al are cited for their disclosure of the complexity encountered in arthritis treatments, as well as its varied etiology.

For these reasons, it is the examiner's position that one skilled in the art could not practice the invention without undue experimentation.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

This application contains claims 1-8, 27-34, 40-44 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 10312003. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is (571) 272-0588. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1500

Art Unit: 1615

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ca

CARLOS A AZPURU PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1500